

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,578	06/29/2001	Robert J. Royer JR.	42390P11447	6935
8791	7590 03/03/2004		EXAMINER	
	BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR		PORTKA, GARY J	
	ES, CA 90025	NIH FLOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2188	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004	ار ا

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/895,578	ROYER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary J Portka	2188
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2001 is/are: a)☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to large accepted or b)☐ objected to large accepted in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.6.8.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-44 are presented for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on November 26, 2001, March 7, 2002, and October 6, 2003 (paper nos. 5, 6, and 8 respectively) were considered by the examiner, except that one foreign patent was not considered because there was no copy in the file. Please submit or resubmit a copy of that reference if it is desired to be considered.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 10 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: The term "massive" is a term of degree, but is probably intended to mean "mass", and will be interpreted as such hereinbelow. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 36-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 36 and 41 recite a storage device that is a polymer ferroelectric memory. The disclosure only mentions such a memory structure as a possible type of

Application/Control Number: 09/895,578

Art Unit: 2188

many at page 5. Since this limitation is apparently the basis for patentability for claims 36 and 41, and since description of how to implement such a memory structure is not supplied, the claimed invention is not enabled. Claims 37-40 and 42-42 incorporate the limitations of these claims by dependency and are rejected for the same reason.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 12-15, 17-29, 31-33, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kurzawa et al., WO 93/21579.
- 8. As to claims 1, 7, 13, and 22, Kurzawa discloses a method, non-volatile memory, system, and program comprising partitioning a non-volatile storage media, storing data in a first section and metadata corresponding to the data in a second section (see Abstract, Figs. 1 and 2, page 3 lines 1-8, page 3 line 33 to page 4 line 4, page 6 line1 to page 9 line 8 in general, and in particular page 8 lines 5-8 and 16-21; since the NVM directory 150 is allocated only as needed it may be considered partitioned along with table 140 with respect to the data buffer area 160).

Application/Control Number: 09/895,578 Page 4

Art Unit: 2188

9. As to claims 2-5, 8-9, 12, 14-15, 17, 19-21, 23-26, 28-29, 32-33, and 35, all limitations are considered disclosed in or inherent to the sections of Kurzawa cited above

10. As to claims 18, 27, and 31, Kurzawa discloses the invention substantially as described above with regard to claim 1; the limitation of accessing the second partition in a system boot is inherent since the system is for the purpose of retaining data in the event of power failure (see page 1 lines 20-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 6, 10-11, 16, 30, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurzawa et al., WO 93/21579, in view of Forehand et al., U.S. Patent 6,516,426 B1.
- 13. As to claims 6, 10-11, 16, 30, and 34, Kurzawa does not disclose the non-volatile cache as part of a mass storage device. However, it was known in the art to implement a part of a mass storage device as a non-volatile cache. Forehand teaches that storing data in a non-volatile manner is required to avoid loss of data (see col. 1 lines 43-49), and that the expense and control issues of other non-volatile caching techniques are solved by an on-disk caching technique (see col. 2 lines 4-34). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the non-

Page 5

volatile cache as part of a mass storage device, because this was previously known as a less expensive and easier controlled method of avoiding the loss of data.

- 14. Claims 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurzawa et al., WO 93/21579, in view of Chen, U.S. Patent 6,025,618.
- 15. Claims 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurzawa et al., WO 93/21579, in view of Forehand et al., U.S. Patent 6,516,426 B1, and further in view of Chen, U.S. Patent 6,025,618.
- 16. As to claims 36-40, Kurzawa substantially discloses the claim limitations, and as to claims 41-44 the Kurzawa-Forehand prior art combination substantially discloses the claim limitations as described above. Neither Kurzawa nor Forehand disclose that the non-volatile memory is a polymer ferroelectric memory. However, polymer ferroelectric memory and its advantages were known in the art at the time. Chen teaches that such memory combines the advantages of non-volatility with the access speed of DRAM (see col. 1 lines 9-25, col. 2 lines 20-32, col. 5 lines 5-7, and col. 6 lines 60-67). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a polymer ferroelectric memory, because this was previously known to combine the advantages of non-volatility and high speed.

Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Patent No:

6,347,358 B1

Disk controller separate cache management and data areas.

Page 6

5,644,701 Snapshot using volatile and non-volatile cach

5,604,881 Ferroelectric device emulating disk.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary J Portka whose telephone number is (703) 305-4033. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on (703) 306-2903. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary J Portka Primary Examiner Art Unit 2188

Sary Warth

March 1, 2004